

**INCREASED DISPERSION OF ATRIAL REFRACTORINESS PREDICTS MOST OF
THE INAPPROPRIATE IMPLANTABLE CARDIOVERTER-DEFIBRILLATOR
SHOCKS**

Ozcan OZDEMİR, Assoc. Prof., M.D.

Onur YILDIRIM, M.D.

Lokman Hekim University, Ankara Hospital, Cardiology Clinics, ANKARA/TURKEY

Corresponding Author Address:

Ozcan Ozdemir

Turgut Ozal Mah. 2141. Sok. Akkent 5 Konutları, E Blok No: 10 Batıkent/Yenimahalle
Ankara/TURKEY

Institutional address: Ankara Lokman Hekim Hospital, Cardiology Clinics, Polatlı 2 Cad. İdil
Sok. No:44 Sincan / Ankara / TÜRKİYE

E-mail: drozdemir75@yahoo.com

[Tlf: 05323700993](tel:05323700993)

[Fax: 0312 276 08 18](tel:03122760818)

ABSTRACT

Background: Despite implantable cardioverter-defibrillators' proven survival benefits, inappropriate shocks limit their benefits due to adverse effects on quality of life, potential arrhythmogenesis, and even mortality. Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common cause of inappropriate shocks. Therefore, to predict and treat AF may prevent inappropriate shocks and their hazardous potentials. This paper aimed to show that we could predict the patients who may experience inappropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator shocks by measuring atrial refractoriness.

Methods: 186 consecutive patients who underwent initial ICD implantation underwent coronary angiography and electrophysiologic (EP) study before the ICD implantation.

Results: Of 169 patients who could be followed, 34 received (20%) at least one inappropriate shock during the mean follow-up of 30 months. The majority of these shocks were due to AF (68%). The most significant predictors for these inappropriate shocks were atrial effective refractory periods (AERPs) and AERP dispersion.

Conclusions: We found that simple EP study parameters measuring atrial refractoriness may define the patients carrying higher risk for future inappropriate shocks due to AF. We could prevent inappropriate shocks and hazardous results in these patients by either device programming, pharmacological treatments, or ablation procedures.

Key Words: Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillators; Inappropriate Shocks; Atrial Refractoriness

INTRODUCTION

Although implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) therapy is proven to reduce mortality (1), inappropriate shocks result in several adverse effects, including impaired quality of life (2), ventricular arrhythmias (3), and even may increase mortality (4). The incidence of inappropriate shock in patients implanted with an ICD ranges from %10 to 44 (5-10). Several studies showed that atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common reason for inappropriate ICD therapy (4,6, 9-11) and increases the risk of an inappropriate shock by 3-folds (7). Therefore, predicting and treating AF in these patients may prevent more than half of the inappropriate shocks and their adverse effects. Atrial effective refractory period (AERP) has been used as a parameter to evaluate atrial repolarization, and AERP and its dispersion are known parameters of atrial vulnerability that indicate enhanced atrial arrhythmogenesis (12,13). We aimed to predict patients with inappropriate ICD shocks due to AF by using atrial refractory parameters.

METHODS

We performed a prospective study that enrolled 186 consecutive patients who underwent initial ICD implantation in our clinics between 2012-2016 years. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients, and the local ethics committee approved the study. The patients enrolled in this study underwent coronary angiography to define the need for revascularization and electrophysiologic (EP) study before the ICD implantation. The patients who needed any revascularization procedure, the patients with a history of AF were excluded. We implanted ICD in all the patients in the study either on the same day or the day after the EP study. Transthoracic echocardiography was performed in all patients before the procedures. In general, device programming was as follows. The ventricular fibrillation (VF) zone detected ventricular events faster than 185-200 beats/min, and initial therapy was 30 J or more. Ventricular tachycardia zone detected ventricular events faster than 160-170 beats/min, and three sequences of ATP were initially attempted. If arrhythmia continued, the first shock with an energy ranging

10-20 J and subsequent shocks with maximal energy were delivered till its termination. The device-related detection algorithms were employed for the discrimination of supraventricular tachycardia (4, 14). All the patients were followed in our outpatient ICD clinic. Any ICD therapy not delivered for VT or VF was deemed inappropriate, and the rhythm triggering therapy was categorized as atrial fibrillation or flutter (AF), other supraventricular including sinus tachycardia (SVT), or inappropriate sensing using published criteria (15).

Electrophysiologic Study: Baseline EP study was performed in all patients in a fasting and unsedated state. Two multipolar electrode catheters were inserted through femoral veins and placed in the high right atrium (HRA) and lower right posterolateral atrium (RPL). One steerable decapolar catheter was inserted into the coronary sinus (CS) in the same way. Intracardiac electrograms were displayed simultaneously with ECG leads I, II, and V1 on a multichannel oscilloscope recorder. For the EP study, the PA interval was defined as an interval from the onset of earliest atrial activation on the surface ECG to the rapid deflection of the atrial ECG at the His-bundle site. This interval was used as a scale of right atrial conduction. AERPs in the HRA, RPL, and distal CS were assessed just we described before (13). AERP dispersion was defined as a maximal difference of AERPs at the three stimulation sites.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD and categorical variables as percentages. The two-tailed unpaired t-test for independent variables was used for the analysis of continuous variables. Chi-square or Fisher's exact test assessed differences in categorical variables of two groups. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to assess the relation of variables with inappropriate ICD shocks. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were listed. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. A receiver operating characteristic curve evaluated the sensitivities and specificities at different cut points of some AERP parameters.

RESULTS

One hundred eighty-six patients enrolled in this study initially, but we could follow up 169 patients. Of 169 patients, 34 received (20%) at least one inappropriate shock during the mean follow-up of 30 months. However, 24 of these shocks were due to AF (71%), followed by supraventricular tachycardias, including sinus tachycardia (21%) and abnormal sensing (8 %). The age, sex, left ventricular ejection fraction (EF), NYHA class, ICD indication, underlying heart diseases, left atrial diameters, serum creatinine levels, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, pharmacological therapy, QRS durations were comparable between the two groups (Table 1).

The patients with inappropriate shocks due to AF had significantly higher PA intervals and AERP dispersions but lower AERP at HRA, RPL, and DCS (Table 2).

Regression analysis showed that left atrial diameter, PA interval, AERP at HRA and RPL, and AERP dispersion were independent variables predicting the inappropriate shocks (Table 3). $AERP_{HRA} > 190$ msec separated the patients with inappropriate shocks from those without with a sensitivity of 68% and a specificity of 98%. AERP dispersion > 60 msec separated the patients with inappropriate shocks due to AF with a sensitivity of 92% and specificity of 98%.

DISCUSSION

Atrial electrical remodeling plays a part in the occurrence of AF. An increase in heterogeneity of atrial refractoriness may facilitate the occurrence of multiple reentry wavelets and vulnerability to AF (16,17). Recently, Lee et al. (18) showed that tissue refractoriness to conduction is a crucial electrophysiological factor in determining susceptibility to fibrillation. In patients with no overt structural heart diseases, AERP of ≥ 280 msec was predictive of an increased future risk of developing AF. Moreover, we know that atrial remodeling due to congestive heart failure (CHF) is characterized by structural changes, conduction abnormalities, sinus node dysfunction, and increased refractoriness. These abnormalities may partly be responsible for the increased propensity of AF in these patients (19). Since AF is the most common cause of inappropriate shocks (4, 6, 7, 9-11), it is reasonable that increased atrial refractoriness may predict the AF development and inappropriate shocks in these patients.

Large-scale studies have estimated the incidence of inappropriate shock in patients implanted with an ICD to range from 10% to 44% (5-10). Similarly, of 169 patients, 34 received (20%) at least one inappropriate shock in our study. The most common cause of inappropriate shocks is AF (4, 6, 9-11), and AF increases the risk of an inappropriate shock by 3-folds (7). Similar to our results (24 of these shocks were due to AF (71%)), Yang JH et al. (20) found that 67.7 % of inappropriate shocks are due to AF. It is clear that inappropriate shocks reduce the quality of life due to pain and psychological morbidity (2), may induce ventricular arrhythmias (3), and even may increase mortality (4,9). Therefore, patients at increased risk for inappropriate shocks need careful evaluation of potential therapeutic optimization strategies, including pharmacological treatment, device programming, electrophysiological ablation.

For inappropriate shocks, several independent predictors are defined before, including most commonly prior AF, smoking, HT, younger age, absence of diuretics, NYHA class, etiology, and device type (4-8, 10, 21-23). In our results, age, sex, left ventricular ejection fraction (EF),

NYHA class, ICD indication, underlying heart diseases, left atrial diameters, serum creatinine levels, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, pharmacological therapy were similar in the two groups. However, more remarkable results were in atrial refractory periods. The patients with inappropriate shocks due to AF had significantly higher PA intervals and AERP dispersions but lower AERP at HRA, RPL, and DCS. Inappropriate shocks due to AF were related to increases in LA diameter, PA interval, and AERP dispersion and decreases in $AERP_{HRA}$, $AERP_{RPL}$, $AERP_{DCS}$. Age, left ventricular ejection fraction, device type, and other clinical parameters were not significantly related to inappropriate therapy due to AF. An AERP dispersion > 60 msec increased the risk of AF causing inappropriate shocks by 3.8 folds ($p=0.001$, 95% CI: 1.5-8.8).

Conclusion: As a result, simple EP study parameters measuring atrial refractoriness may define patients with a higher risk for future inappropriate shocks due to AF. We could prevent inappropriate shocks and hazardous results in these patients by either device programming, pharmacological treatments, or ablation procedures.

DECLARATIONS

We would like to submit the manuscript entitled ‘ Increased Dispersion of Atrial Refractoriness Predicts Most of The Inappropriate Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator Shocks’ for publication in your journal.

This manuscript is not published before and not underconsideration in another journal. Availability of data and material Data and materials are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Approval of the research protocol: Ethics approval and consent to participate We informed the participants about the study and got consent written informed consent from the participants.

This study was approved by the Ethics Board of the Institutional Ethics Committee of Lokman Hekim University.

Informed consent: Yes

Registry and Registration no: N/A

Animal Studies: N/A

Competing interest There is no competing interest in this study.

Funding There is no funding in this study.

Author’s contributions OO contributed design of the work, analysis, interpretation of data, have drafted the work and substantively revised it. OY contributed analysis. HA contributed interpretation of data and substantively revised it. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements There is no acknowledgements about the manuscript

Dear Editor;

We would like to submit the manuscript entitled “INCREASED DISPERSION OF ATRIAL REFRACTORINESS PREDICTS MOST OF THE INAPPROPRIATE IMPLANTABLE CARDIOVERTER-DEFIBRILLATOR SHOCKS” for publication in your journal. This is the first paper evaluating the preoperative electrophysiologic parameters to predict the inappropriate therapies in patients with ICD. Therefore we can define the patients who may have uncomfortable shocks and treat them more carefully to prevent these inappropriate therapies. This manuscript is not published before and not under consideration in another journal. Abbreviations used in the text was given in the list after discussion section. Ethics committee of Lokman Hekim University faculty of medicine approved the study. We have got informed consents from all participants in the study. Data and materials are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. All authors have read and approved the manuscript. There is no financial competing interests or fundings. Author’s contributions OO contributed design of the work, analysis, interpretation of data, have drafted the work and substantively revised it. OY contributed analysis. HA contributed interpretation of data and substantively revised it. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Your sincerely,

Ozcan Ozdemir

REFERENCES

- 1) Kadish A, Dyer A, Daubert JP, et al. For the Defibrillators in Non-Ischemic Cardiomyopathy Treatment Evaluation (DEFINITE) Investigators. Prophylactic defibrillator implantation in patients with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *N Engl J Med* 2004;350:2151-8.
- 2) Schron EB, Exner DV, Yao Q, et al. Quality of Life in the Antiarrhythmics Versus Implantable Defibrillators Trial. Impact of therapy and influence of adverse symptoms and defibrillator shocks. *Circulation* 2002;105:589-94.
- 3) Vollmann D, Luthje L, Vonhof S, et al. Inappropriate therapy and fatal proarrhythmia by an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator. *Heart Rhythm* 2005; 2: 3007-9.
- 4) Daubert JP, Zareba W, Cannom DS, et al. Inappropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator shocks in MADIT II: Frequency, mechanisms, predictors, and survival impact. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;51:1357-65.
- 5) Greenlee RT, Go AS, Peterson PN, et al. Device therapies among patients receiving primary prevention implantable cardioverter-defibrillators in the cardiovascular research network. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2018; 26:7.
- 6) Hofer D, Steffel J, Hürlimann D, et al. Long-term incidence of inappropriate shocks in patients with implantable cardioverter defibrillators in clinical practice- an underestimated complication? *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2017;50:219-26.
- 7) Tenma T, Yokoshiki H, Mizukami K, et al. Predictors and proarrhythmic consequences of inappropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy. *Circ J* 2015; 79:1920-7.
- 8) Fernandez-Cisnal A, Arce-Leon A, Arana-Rueda E, et al. Analyses of inappropriate shocks in a Spanish ICD primary prevention population: Predictors and prognoses. *Int J Cardiol* 2015; 195: 188-94.

- 9) Van Rees JB, Borleffs CJ, de Bie MK, et al. Inappropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator shocks: incidence, predictors, and impact on mortality. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011; 57: 556-62.
- 10) Nanthakumar K, Dorian P, Paquette M, et al. Is inappropriate implantable defibrillator shock therapy predictable? *J Interv Cardiol Electrophysiol* 2003; 8: 215-20.
- 11) Theuns DA, Klootwijk AP, Simoons ML, Kordaens LJ. Clinical variables predicting inappropriate use of implantable cardioverter defibrillator in patients with coronary heart disease or nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* 2005; 95: 271-4.
- 12) Chen YJ, Chen SA, Wen ZC, et al. Role of atrial electrophysiology and autonomic nervous system in patients with supraventricular tachycardia and paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998; 32: 732-8.
- 13) Soyulu M, Demir AD, Ozdemir O, et al. Increased dispersion of refractoriness in patients with atrial fibrillation in the early postoperative period after coronary bypass grafting. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2003;14: 28-31.
- 14) Swerdlow CD. Supraventricular tachycardia- ventricular tachycardia discrimination algorithms in implanted cardioverter defibrillators: State-of-the-art review. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2001; 12: 606-12.
- 15) Klein RC, Raitt MH, Wilkoff BL, et al. Analysis of implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy in the Antiarrhythmics Versus Implantable Defibrillators (AVID) trial. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2003; 14: 940-8.
- 16) Oliveira MM, da Silva N, Timoteo AT, et al. Enhanced dispersion of atrial refractoriness as an electrophysiological substrate for vulnerability to atrial fibrillation in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Rev Port Cardiol* 2007; 26: 691-702.
- 17) Li Z, Hertvig E, Carlson J, Johansson C, Olsson SB, Yuan S. Dispersion of refractoriness in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Evaluation with

simultaneous endocardial recordings from both atria. *J Electrocardiol* 2002; 35: 2227-34.

- 18) Lee JM, Lee H, Janardhan AH, et al. Prolonged atrial refractoriness predicts the onset of atrial fibrillation: A 12-year follow-up study. *Heart Rhythm* 2016; 13: 1575-80.
- 19) Sanders P, Morton JB, Davidson NC, et al. Electrical remodeling of the atria in congestive heart failure: electrophysiological and electroanatomic mapping in humans. *Circulation* 2003; 108: 1461-8.
- 20) Yang JH, Byeon K, Yim HR, et al. Predictors and clinical impact of inappropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator shocks in Korean patients. *J Korean Med Sci* 2012; 27: 619-24.
- 21) Chen Z, Kotecha T, Crichton S, et al. Lower incidence of inappropriate shock therapy in patients with combined cardiac resynchronization therapy defibrillators (CRT-D) compared with patients with non-CRT defibrillators (ICDs). *Int J Clin Pract* 2013; 67: 733-9.
- 22) Van Boven N, Theuns D, Bogaard K, et al. Atrial fibrillation in cardiac resynchronization therapy with a defibrillator: a risk factor for mortality, appropriate and inappropriate shocks. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2013; 24: 1116-22.
- 23) Borleffs CJ, van Rees JB, van Welsenes GH, et al. Prognostic importance of atrial fibrillation in implantable- cardioverter defibrillator patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010; 55: 879-85.

TABLES

Table 1. Baseline patient characteristics.

Variables	Inappropriate shocks due to AF (p=24)	No inappropriate shocks (p=135)	p
Age (year)	59.4±12.8	61.6±13.1	NS
Sex (male)	17	89	NS
Diabetes Mellitus (n)	7	35	NS
Hypertension (n)	17	80	NS
Current smoker (n)	3	13	NS
QRS duration >0.12 sec (n)	5	33	NS
Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (%)	27.4±5.5	28.2±5.3	NS
Left atrial diameter (cm)	4.3±1.1	4.3±0.9	NS
NYHA Class (%)			
II	35	35	NS
III	65	65	
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	1.16±0.55	1.18±0.52	NS
Primary prevention (n)	20	111	NS
Etiology (%)			
Ischemic	60	63	NS
Non-ischemic	40	37	
Device type (n)			
Single chamber	14	90	NS
Dual Chamber	4	15	
CRT	6	30	
Pharmacological therapy (%)			
Beta-blocker	70	72	NS
Amiodarone	7	6	NS
ACEI/ARB	60	64	NS
Digitalis	15	14	NS
Aldosterone antagonist	55	53	NS
Nitrate	25	23	NS
Statin	20	19	NS
Ivabradine	15	14	NS
Furosemide	85	85	NS

Table 2. Electrophysiologic Parameters of Patients with and without Inappropriate Shocks

Variables	Inappropriate shocks (p=34)	No inappropriate shocks (p=135)	p-value	
PA interval (msec)	34.7±9.3	22.5±6.0	0.001	
AERP _{HRA} (msec)	195.3±16.3	223.9±14.2	0.001	
AERP _{RPL} (msec)	183.8±19.3	223.3±14.7	0.001	
AERP _{DCS} (msec)	189.7±19.7	232.3±14.7	0.001	
AERP dispersion (msec)	74.4±10.3	45.9±8.4	0.001	

AERP: Atrial Effective Refractory Period, DCS: Distal Coronary Sinus, HRA: High Right Atrium, RPL: Right Posterolateral Atrium

Table 3. Predictors of ≥ 1 inappropriate shocks

Variables	B	S.E.	t	p-value
LA	-0.08	0.01	-2.0	0.04
PA	0.2	0.002	3.8	0.0001
AERP _{HRA}	0.6	0.002	6.1	0.0001
AERP _{RPL}	-1.0	0.005	-3.4	0.001
AERP dispersion	0.4	0.0001	8.8	0.0001

List of abbreviations:

AERP: Atrial Effective Refractory Period, DCS: Distal Coronary Sinus, HRA: High Right Atrium, RPL: Right Posterolateral Atrium, AF: Atrial Fibrillation, ICD: Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, CHF: Congestive Heart Failure, EP: Electrophysiologic

